



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/075,230	02/15/2002	Eiro Fujii	009683-373	9729	
7590 12/05/2003			EXAMINER		
Platon N. Mandros, Esq.			PHAM, HOA Q		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/075,230	FUJII ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply								
A SH THE I - External after If the I - If NC I Failure Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered time 6 from the mailing date of this of					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Sectors</u>	eptember 2003.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3 and 5-24</u> is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rithdrawn from consideration.						
-	, ,	r ciconon requirement.						
	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first Topic	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 2 st sentence of the specification ovisional application has been to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	lication No. 08/358,30 ceived in this National ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional on or in an Application on received. 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No mal Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata et al (6,333,747) in view of Shimoni (4,580,054). Regarding claims 1, 4, 25-28; Murata et al teaches that the image synthesizing system is possible wherein at least one type of rendering data stored in the storage unit is surface shape data and wherein the surface data being read out by the use of the texture coordinates to form an image data (column 4, lines 52-56, column 3 lines 46-63, and column 12, lines 1-42). Murata et al does not explicitly teach that the object is rotated and the coordinate transformation information including a position of the axis of rotation. However, such the features are known in the art as taught by Shimoni (of record). Shimoni teaches that the object is rotated about an axis and different locations on the object are viewed, the coordinate information is determined on the basis of different locations of the object, the coordinate information includes at least one of a position of the axis of rotation and a relative angle rotation (see abstract and column 7 line 8 through column 8 lines 48 of Shimoni). Since Murata et al determine coordinates of each dots in polygons forming the display image, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in

Art Unit: 2877

coordinate transformation information of Munata et al a position of the axis of rotation if the object need to be rotated as taught by Shimoni. Thus, an accuracy of the measurement is obtained.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/2/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- a. Applicant's remarks, page 2, argue that the references do not teach or suggest "a measuring unit for measuring an object and for generating shape data of the object". As mentioned above, the surface shape data of an object is generated and stored in the storage unit. Since the terms "measuring unit" are so broad, any unit, which generates shape data of an object can be considered as a measuring unit. For example the image supply unit in Murata et al reference can be considered as a measuring unit (column 22, lines 33-47).
- b. Applicant remarks, page 2, also argue that Murata et al device does not include a measuring unit but, rather, operates on previous stored image data. Applicant is noted that the stored image data must be measured, calculated, or determined by a measuring unit before it stored in a storage unit.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the claimed invention is read on the teachings of Murata et al and Shimoni.

Art Unit: 2877

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

⊮oá Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

November 28, 2003